



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/817,172	04/02/2004	Donald P. Bushby	15597US01	3082

23446 7590 12/01/2006

MCANDREWS HELD & MALLOY, LTD  
500 WEST MADISON STREET  
SUITE 3400  
CHICAGO, IL 60661

EXAMINER

PATEL, TARLA R

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

3772

DATE MAILED: 12/01/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Office Action Summary**

Application No.

10/817,172

Applicant(s)

BUSHBY, DONALD P.

Examiner

Tarla R. Patel

Art Unit

3772

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

**Period for Reply**

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

**Status**

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 April 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

**Disposition of Claims**

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-43 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) \_\_\_\_\_ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

**Application Papers**

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on \_\_\_\_\_ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.  
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

**Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119**

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some \* c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
  2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. \_\_\_\_\_.
  3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

\* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

**Attachment(s)**

- |  |   |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)   | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application                       |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)<br>Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/2/05 and 10/3/05</u> | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____  |

## **DETAILED ACTION**

### ***Information Disclosure Statement***

The Information disclosure statement (IDS) submitted on 10/03/05 is acknowledged by Examiner. The IDS meets the requirements of 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98 and therefore the references there in have been considered.

### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102***

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-5, 9 and 12-13 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Burgess (6,640,465).
3. Burgess discloses a method for managing stress on a plantar fascia of a human foot providing a support device (110) which is preshaped (column 2 lines 38-40) to be conformed for use with the foot adhering (fig 2)

at least a portion of said support device to the foot using an adhesive (120) and controlling tension in at least a portion of the plantar fascia of the foot. The step of controlling includes sharing the tensile forces applied to the plantar fascia from the forces on an arch of the foot which push the bones of the foot downwardly, said sharing being among the plantar fascia and said support device (see fig 2). As required by claim 9 and 12 the support device also includes a protective cover, which is removably adhered to adhesive (column 4 lines 49-55).

With respect to claims 2 and 3 the step of adhering at least a portion of said support device includes applying said support device with adhesive to the foot and pressing support device to the foot (see fig 2). As required by claim 4, the support is substantially stretch resistant since the device does not come off while walking or running (column 3 lines 52-55) and can be made of resilient material.

With respect to claim 5 the support is made of less than 30 mils (column 3 lines 13-15).

#### ***Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103***

4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. Claims 1-9, 12-18 and 20-36, 39-43 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turtzo (Application No. 09, 875,357) in view of Burgess (465).

Turtzo substantially discloses a method for managing stress on a plantar fascia of a human foot including a support device (24) which is preshaped to be conformed for use with the foot adhering at least a portion of said support device to the foot controlling tension in at least a portion of the plantar fascia of the foot. The step of controlling includes sharing the tensile forces applied to the plantar fascia from the forces on an arch of the foot which push the bones of the foot downwardly, said sharing being among the plantar fascia and said support device (see fig 4).

Turtzo further discloses device with straps for front, arch and heel area (14,44), where in straps can be applied an adhesive for securing around the portions of the foot (0030) as required by claims 7-8 and 31-32.

6. However Turtzo does not disclose the adhesive on support means to adhere to bottom of the foot and foot support having thickness of less than 30 mils. However Burgess teaches a support device having an adhesive

and foot support having thickness of less than 30 mils (column 3 lines 13-15). Burgess further teaches a removable protective (column 4 lines 49-55) covering on top of the adhesive. At the time of the invention, it would have been an obvious to one skilled in art to have modify the device of Turtzo as taught by of Burgess to adding adhesive to device to have more adherence to foot and be able to use it with stocking, socks and inside the shoe.

7. Turtzo also does not teaches the support device is made of permeable material, which helps transporting moisture from a surface of the foot and further requires the device made of Rayon fabric.

However Burgess teaches a foot support device made of fibrous layer may be synthetic fibers, such as polypropylene fibers, or natural fibers, such as paper or cloth fibers (column 4 lines 4-8). One skilled in art would understand that natural fibers transport moisture from a surface of the foot and also to interpret synthetic fibers, such as Rayon fabric, to have smoother fit to surface of foot and have wicked away the moisture from sweat of foot.

With respect to claims 21-23, 25-27 examiner interpreted that by securing the device on user's foot Turzto results in treating pain in at least one of the heel, or arch or ball of the foot (0016 and 0027) and controls step to

prevent extension and stretching, reduce tension on the plantar fascia of the foot.

8. As required by the claims 24 and 28 Turtzo substantially disclose the invention as claimed. Turtzo does not disclose the support device is made of a plurality of materials, which are substantially stretch resistant.

However Burgess teaches the support device can be made of various materials to form the resilient device. At the time of the invention, it would have been an obvious to one skilled in art to interpret the various as plural materials to have modified the device of Turtzo to have more flexibility into making the device and have better stretch resistant device for the user.

9. Claims 10 and 19 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turtzo and Burgess as applied to claims 1-9,12-18 and 20-36, 39-43 above, and further in view of Shaw (5,897,518).

Turtzo and Burgess substantially disclose the invention as claimed; see rejection to claims 1-9,12-18 and 20-36, 39-43 above. Turtzo and Burgess do not disclose support devices that include indicia that indicate the order in which portions of said support device are attached to the foot.

However Shaw teaches a support device that has indicia that to help center the device under the arch of the feet (column 2 lines 18-20). At the time of

the invention, it would have been an obvious to one skilled in art to use the indicia of Shaw with the device of Burgess and Turtzo to ensure that the user properly applies the device to their foot.

10. Claims 37-38 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Turtzo and Burgess as applied to claimed claims 1-9, 12-18 and 20-36, 39-43 above, and further in view of and Dalton (2004/0118017).

Turtzo and Burgess substantially disclose the invention as claimed; see rejection to claims 1-9, 12-18 and 20-36, 39-43 above. Turtzo and Burgess do not disclose a support device that includes medicinal additives, anti-fungal treatments, anti-microbial treatments, anti-inflammatory treatments, deodorants, and tea tree oil.

However Dalton teaches a support device having an insole that is treated with antibacterial agent (0031). At the time of the invention, it would have been an obvious to one skilled in art to treat the support device of Turtzo and Burgess with antibacterial agent to avoid odor causing bacteria and fungus.

### ***Conclusion***

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tarla R. Patel whose telephone



number is 571-272-3143. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 6-3.30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on 571-272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TP

*Patricia Bianco*  
11/27/04

Application/Control Number: 10/817,172  
Art Unit: 3772

Page 9